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COVER IMAGE: People raise their hands at a rally before a “National Prayer and Call For Justice” march in Tulsa, Okla., Tuesday, Sept. 27, 2016. The march was in response to the police shooting of Terence Crutcher. (AP Photo/Sue Ogrocki)
Tulsa, Oklahoma’s long history of racial bias and violence against its Black residents reaches back to the 1921 Greenwood Massacre, which decimated the thriving Black community of Greenwood and resulted in the killing of up to 300 Black Tulsans. It has been estimated that more than 8,000 residents were rendered homeless, and nearly 40 square blocks of Greenwood were razed by “vigilantes . . . [who were] deputized or [acting] under color of law.” A full accounting of the events, institutions, and individuals that contributed to the massacre continues to this day, with forensic studies, litigation, and community conversations.

The Greenwood Massacre lives powerfully in the historic memory of Black Tulsans. Today that memory undergirds an active and determined effort to confront racial discrimination and disparities that undermine the full citizenship and dignity of Black communities in the city. The killing of Terence Crutcher by a white Tulsa police officer in 2016, and other law enforcement shootings of Black Tulsans, taking place in the context of a nationwide conversation about police violence, prompted Tulsa leaders and the NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. (LDF) to host a public meeting to investigate, understand and address racial disparities in the Tulsa Police Department’s (TPD) law enforcement activity.

This Report summarizes the information and testimony shared in that and later public meetings. The session convened by community leaders was held in March 2019. Members of the Tulsa City Council convened additional meetings from June to September 2019. The latter meetings focused on the findings of the Tulsa Equality Indicators’ Annual Reports for 2018 and 2019. The reports documented alarming racial disparities in key areas of law enforcement activity in the city. According to these annual reports, TPD’s own data show that its officers:

- Arrest Black youth three times more than white youth;
- Arrest Black adults two times more than white adults; and
- Use force against Black adults three times more than Latinx adults, while white adults are half as likely to have force used against them when compared to Black adults.

The testimony of residents at the public meetings further illustrated
the harm that Black youth and adults experienced in their encounters with TPD officers, as well as the harm caused to their families as a result of TPD encounters.6

Over a year has passed since the last public meeting in the city regarding racial disparities in policing. Tulsa officials released the third Equality Indicators Annual report in 2020, which revealed that inequalities in the city remain. Specifically, the overall Justice equality score, which covers policing practices, fell from 35.33 in 2018 to 33.89 in 2019, and to 31.56 in 2020.7

In an effort to move Tulsa city leaders towards action to address these ongoing disparities, “We are Not Lesser” presents specific recommendations that the Mayor, City Council, and TPD should adopt and implement to achieve a more equitable Tulsa.

As the city of Tulsa plans activities to commemorate the 100th anniversary of this country’s worst race massacre, it must also commit to addressing the reality of contemporary racial discrimination and inequality in Tulsa. Any effort by the city of Tulsa to honestly confront past racial injustice must include a willingness to acknowledge and address the contemporary manifestations of historical discrimination and a clear commitment to implementing measures that can result in change.

In Tulsa, such an effort must include the adoption of transformative public safety policies and strategies to ensure Tulsans are safe in their communities regardless of their race, ethnicity or national origin. Tulsans deserve nothing less.

THE RECOMMENDATIONS INCLUDE:

1. Acknowledging the history of racial discrimination in Tulsa and committing to addressing it—particularly in policing services.

2. Reducing the city’s reliance on police services by investing in community-based programs and services.

3. Decreasing racial disparities in arrests by repealing laws that criminalize quality of life offenses.

4. Improving transparency into officers’ actions by collecting and routinely publishing data regarding officers’ enforcement activity.

5. Reducing police interactions with youth to prevent their involvement in the criminal justice system.

6. Adopting and implementing mechanisms to hold officers accountable for misconduct, especially violations of use of force law, policies, and training.
Members of the Tulsa community have long been advocating to address deeply disturbing incidents of recurring police violence, particularly against Black Tulsans. These incidents of police brutality, and evidence of racial disparities in the TPD’s arrests and uses of force, have inspired a sustained movement committed to addressing these inequities in Tulsa’s public safety system.

**Incidents of Fatal Police Violence Against Black Tulsans**

In 2015, a white volunteer reserve deputy sheriff of the Tulsa County Sheriff’s Office shot and killed Eric Harris, an unarmed Black man in Tulsa, when the deputy mistook his own gun for a taser. After the deputy shot Mr. Harris, other deputies held him down with their knees on his head. Video footage shows Mr. Harris stating he was losing his breath, and a deputy responding, “fuck your breath.” The reserve deputy who shot Mr. Harris was later convicted of manslaughter and served less than half of his four-year sentence.

On September 16, 2016, a TPD officer shot and killed Terence Crutcher, an unarmed 40-year-old Black man and father of four children, who was an active member of his church and sang in the choir. The officer responsible for shooting Mr. Crutcher was charged with manslaughter, but acquitted. One of the jurors involved in the officer’s trial publicly stated that all 12 jurors submitted a letter to the Chief of TPD expressing their belief that the officer should not serve as a police officer again. The officer resigned from the TPD, but later served as a deputy with the Rogers County Sheriff’s Department.

Joshua Harvey, an unarmed 25-year-old Black man had been previously diagnosed with bipolar...
and paranoid schizophrenia disorder; he was a son, brother, and father of a 4-year-old son. In 2018, TPD received multiple calls about a Black man screaming in the street and taking off his clothes, indicating that Mr. Harvey was likely in crisis. Body camera footage reveals that when officers confronted Mr. Harvey, they understood that he was not in a clear mental state. Instead of deescalating the situation, the officers surrounded Mr. Harvey and attempted to forcibly arrest him. The encounter ended with officers deploying a taser on Mr. Harvey 27 times in three minutes and forcibly restraining him on his stomach for over 13 minutes. Mr. Harvey succumbed to the injuries sustained by the officers’ use of excessive force three days later.

**Formation of the Tulsa Commission on Community Policing and Community Response**

City officials responded to these and similar incidents of law enforcement violence and racially-biased policing by forming a Tulsa Commission on Community Policing in 2017. The Commission released 77 recommendations for building trust and integrity between TPD and the community it serves and advancing community policing strategies in Tulsa, among other things. Tulsans noted, however, that Commission members — predominately City Council members and TPD officials — were not diverse, lacked community representation and people impacted by law enforcement, and represented the narrow experience of those connected to law enforcement. Additionally, the Commission meetings were inaccessible, and the recommendations did not include procedures for holding officers accountable for failing to comply with the recommended policies and practices.

Consequently, the families of victims of police violence, along with clergy, Black elected officials, activists, and advocates, organized to demand action from Tulsa’s government officials to address and prevent law enforcement killings and misconduct against Black and Latinx Tulsans. From 2016-2019, community leaders such as Dr. Tiffany Crutcher, founded the Terence Crutcher Foundation to fight for justice in honor of Mr. Crutcher’s memory, the United League for Social Action (TULSA), founded in 2015, convened a multiracial group of Tulsans to analyze data and demonstrate racial disparities in policing, and the Demanding a JUSTulsa campaign advocated for unbiased, transformative, and accountable policing and public safety strategies in Tulsa.

The overall Justice equality score, which covers policing practices, fell from 2018 to 2020.
COMMUNITY DEMANDS SPURRED THE 2019 CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL MEETINGS ON RACIAL DISPARITIES IN POLICING

City officials signaled a willingness to begin identifying and acknowledging racial inequities in the delivery of public services by agreeing to publish annual Tulsa Equality Indicators reports. Since 2018, these reports, developed by the Community Service Council and the City University of New York Institute for State and Local Governance in partnership with Tulsa city officials, designed a methodology to evaluate equality in the city’s provision of public services. The reports assess inequalities in economic opportunities, education, housing, public health, transportation and public works services, political empowerment, and the justice system.

In its inaugural Tulsa Equality Indicators report, the city’s overall equality score was 38.9 out of 100, and the scores in the Justice category were worse, with youth arrests receiving a score of 33/100 and use of force receiving a score of 20/100. The report found that TPD arrested Black youth three times more than it arrested white youth, and Black adults were more than twice as likely to be arrested as white adults. The report found that white people were “half as likely to experience officer use of force as Black[]” people.

Following the release of the 2018 report, on May 31, 2018, the 98th anniversary of the 1921 Greenwood Massacre, LDF and 50 Tulsa civil rights attorneys, religious leaders, elected and law enforcement officials, and community activists sent a letter to the Mayor and City Council demanding the city hold public meetings to investigate the findings of racial disparities, solicit more information and recommendations from the public about TPD’s use of force and arrest practices, and immediately address the disparities.

Nearly a year later, when the Mayor and City Council failed to commit to holding public meetings, Tulsa community-based organizations, clergy and LDF organized their own public hearing on racial disparities in policing on March 7, 2019. Hundreds attended to share their experiences with TPD, and community leaders discussed methods to address racial inequity in Tulsa. Notably, Mayor Bynum refused to attend the public hearing and implied that it was a “PR stunt[] by trial lawyers suing the city.”

Days later, on March 13, 2019, the City Council voted unanimously to hold special public meetings to better understand the findings of the 2018 and the then-impending 2019 Equality Indicators reports, listen to how racially-biased policing practices have affected community members, and learn how to address racial disparities in police arrests, use of force, and TPD hiring practices.
Following the City Council’s decision, the Demanding a JUSTulsa campaign issued demands for the City Council to: hold large, open meetings that would be live streamed, recorded, and accepted into the public record; allow members of the public to comment during the meetings; require that at least five City Councilors attend each meeting; and allow community members to recommend experts to testify.37

The City Council met many of these demands,38 and identified five goals for the meetings:39

1. **Building trust** between the residents of Tulsa and law enforcement.
2. **Improving transparency** in city government especially as it pertains to justice.
3. **Providing an opportunity** for community stakeholders to share their expertise and insight as to why the numbers exist as reported and make recommendations to improve outcomes.
4. **Ensuring that everyone** knows the same statistical data and information, how it is reported and collected.
5. **Adopting policy changes** that will improve outcomes for Tulsans.

Over a year after the last Tulsa City Council public meeting was held, this report seeks to assist the City Council with advancing goals three and five by summarizing the testimony and related information presented at the Tulsa Equality Indicator meetings and urging city leaders to adopt specific recommendations to realize transformative and nondiscriminatory public safety strategies.

“**This report seeks to assist the City Council with advancing goals three and five.**"
“Racially biased policing is the reality..."

In the data out there now, ... if you’re in south Tulsa and you’re white and you’re stopped, it will take a few minutes. You may get a ticket; you may get a warning. In north Tulsa, statistically, that stop will take twice as long. And it takes twice as long because you’re going to get asked some questions. Is this really your car? Where are you going? Where have you been? That’s right. And so, at the end of the day, that doesn’t happen to folks on the other side of town... I will tell you that this is on the Mayor and the Police Chief and the City Council. This is fixable.40

Drew Diamond
Former Police Chief
Tulsa Police Department
While the Tulsa Equality Indicators reports provided statistical data revealing disparities in law enforcement activity towards Black Tulsans, the testimony provided at public meetings about the reports illustrated the data by uncovering racial bias that community members experienced in their interactions with TPD officers. City Councilors and members of the public were able to hear about these experiences during the following nine subject-area specific public meetings:\textsuperscript{41}

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textbf{June 19 and 22, 2019:} Public comment listening sessions.
  \item \textbf{June 26, 2019:} Equality Indicators special meeting on racial and gender disparities in police arrests of youth.
  \item \textbf{July 10, 2019:} Public comment listening session.
  \item \textbf{July 17, 2019:} Equality Indicators special meeting on racial disparities in police use of force.
  \item \textbf{August 7, 2019:} Public comment listening session.
  \item \textbf{August 14, 2019:} Equality Indicators special meeting on minority and gender underrepresentation in the police department and current practices to improve recruitment and retention of minority officers.
  \item \textbf{September 11, 2019:} Public comment listening session.
  \item \textbf{September 25, 2019:} Equality Indicators special meeting on racial and gender disparities in police arrests of adults.
\end{itemize}
**Racial Disparities in Youth Arrests Indicate TPD is Disproportionately Criminalizing Tulsa’s Black Youth**

Expert panelist and Director of Innovative Data & Research at the Community Service Council of Greater Tulsa Melanie Poulter, is a researcher for the Tulsa Equality Indicators reports. She testified regarding problematic national and local trends in the involvement of Black youth in the criminal legal system. Ms. Poulter testified that data demonstrates, across the United States, that although most youthful behavior, including unlawful behavior, is similar across races:

“...Black youth are more likely to be stopped for discretionary reasons, three times more likely to be searched, twice as likely to be arrested after a search even with lower contraband hit rates, more likely to have their cases referred to court, more likely to have their case heard as opposed to being diverted, less likely to receive probation, more likely to be convicted, sentenced to longer terms, and be transferred to adult facilities.”

Similarly, Ms. Poulter testified that both the 2018 and 2019 Equality Indicators reports found racial disparities in youth arrests in Tulsa. Indeed, in 2020, the Equality Indicators report found that TPD officers continue to arrest Black youth three times more than white youth, similar to the pattern in 2019 and 2018. As former Tulsa police detective, Dave Walker admitted during a City Council special meeting, “The numbers... should be alarming for everybody.”

During the City Council’s public comment listening sessions and special meeting on youth arrests, many Tulsans shared the negative experiences that Black youth have had with TPD officers. Two mothers spoke about a vehicle stop by TPD officers involving their teenaged daughters and their friends in June 2019, in front of one of their homes. The mothers reported that their girls were initially approached by a TPD officer who told them he was searching for a vehicle of four Black male suspects. The four girls did not fit the suspect description. Yet, according to the mothers, officers driving four TPD police cruisers and one “police truck” were involved in detaining the four girls, and officers asked the girls questions including, “Whose car is this?” and “Where are you coming from?”

One mother who spoke about her daughter’s fear during this experience, expressed her distress over TPD officers’ stop and questioning of her daughter, when she clearly did not fit the description of the suspects.

Another young Black community member described multiple incidents of harassment by TPD officers that he, his siblings, and family experienced when they were young, during the course of a lawsuit his father filed against TPD alleging unlawful and unconstitutional conduct. He shared that in one instance his “house was raided in the middle of the night only to find that [the TPD officers] came to the wrong house. We were having a kid’s sleepover when the raid happened, so we were all asleep in the front room.” The officers damaged the family’s home by breaking their front door in the course of the raid and terrified the children involved.

Another Tulsan, a former teacher, spoke about her experience helping one of her Black students after he was wrongfully accused of a felony and arrested. She described the great cost of getting him an attorney, bailing him out of jail, and attempting to expunge his record. She expressed the frustration she felt on behalf of her students, stating, “I’m tired of going to school every day and promising futures for kids that I can’t guarantee outside of the schoolhouse.”
A native Tulsan of Indigenous descent described the stark difference in how youth of color and white or white-passing youth are treated, and spoke about her own experience with the criminal legal system as a youth. She stated that she, a white-passing girl, and her Black and Latinx friends who were each 17 years old were arrested for shoplifting. She was charged with grand larceny and ultimately received 100 hours of community service, six months of probation, and required to write an apology letter whereas her friends “did not fare the same way.” Officers told the girls that their “lives were over. That … [they] would never get past this incident, and that … [they] would never go to college.” She spoke about “the need to provide consistent experiences for all interactions with police.” She urged the City Council to adopt mechanisms for “citizen input and review of police actions.”

During the special meeting on youth arrests, Gregory Robinson, Director of Family and Community Engagement of the Met Cares Foundation, discussed “Building Wakanda,” a community summit hosted in May 2019 to address officers’ treatment of youth and problematic policing practices in north Tulsa. Summit participants, including 300 Tulsa community members, made 20 specific policy recommendations to Tulsa’s Mayor, City Council, TPD, and other decision-makers including increasing police transparency and accountability, and implementing a parent and student advisory board to address issues of juvenile arrest and incarceration.

Potential Racial Bias Reflected in Comments of Tulsa Decisionmaker is Concerning

Unfortunately, statements by a city official at the hearings regarding Equality Indicators reports underscored Black and Latinx Tulsans’ assertions that TPD’s disparate interaction with youth of color may result from racial bias. Councilor and Tulsa Community Foundation CEO Phil Lakin, attributed his success in life to his parents, implying that Black and Latinx youth are not well parented. Specifically, in his opening statement Councilor Lakin commented, “we probably were raised very differently in the way that we look up or look down to authority. So I was raised in a military family, I was raised to respect people who wear uniforms.” This view oversimplifies the reality of youth interactions with law enforcement and fails to recognize that if Black and Latinx youths do not “look up to” law enforcement, the root cause may be disparate treatment they experience by law enforcement officers, and due to how TPD policies impact youth of color.

Studies of officer conduct confirm the testimony of Tulsa residents with regard to the disparate approach of many officers in how they address non-white residents. A study by Dr. Jennifer Eberhardt, Professor of Psychology at Stanford University, an expert witness at the special meeting on use of force, of body worn camera footage from the Oakland Police Department’s officers found that “officers speak with consistently less respect toward black versus white community members, even after controlling for race of the officer, the severity of the infraction, the location of the stop, and the outcome of the stop.” The difference in outcomes for Tulsa’s Black youth in police interactions may be attributable to the differences in officers’ behavior rather than the behavior of Black youth. Tulsa’s youth recognized these stereotypes at work. One Tulsa educator relayed her students’ frustration of not being seen and not being heard, sharing one student’s plea, “we need you to understand that we are not lesser ... that we are not gangbangers or junkies. We are strong and motivated and worthy.”

Teacher in Tulsa

“I’m tired of going to school every single day and promising futures that I can’t guarantee outside of school.”
Underlying the statements made by Councilor Lakin lies a dangerous and flawed assumption that there are racial disparities in arrests of youth because Black youth misbehave more than their peers. Beliefs like this, whether implicit or explicit, contribute to law enforcement officers’ increased scrutiny of Black youth.

National Research Shows Bias Against Black Youth Who Are Incorrectly Viewed As More Culpable and Blameworthy Than Their Peers

Research demonstrates that “children may not be given the privilege of innocence equally across race.” While Black youth are often viewed as more culpable than their white peers, misbehavior of youth, including drug use, are not different across racial lines. Yet, Black youth are perceived as less “innocent[t]... more culpable for their actions.” “Black boys can be misperceived as older than they actually are and prematurely perceived as responsible for their actions during a developmental period where their peers receive the beneficial assumption of childlike innocence.” Similarly, “adults view Black girls as less innocent and more adult-like than their white peers.” More broadly, Black youth are “perceived [] as more similar to adults in blameworthiness” than other youth. “Black children may be perceived as innocent only until deemed suspicious.”

The consideration and understanding of these research findings will be critical to changing public safety policies and practices so that Black youth in Tulsa have an opportunity to grow and thrive; an opportunity to which all youth in Tulsa are entitled. Tulsa leaders must recognize that the heightened scrutiny and adultification of Black youth are each forms of bias that result in higher rates of youth arrest.

TPD arrests more than twice as many Black people as white people, giving Tulsa a score of:

40/100

TPD Disproportionately Arrests Black Adults Often for Low-Level or Quality-of-Life Offenses

The Tulsa Equality Indicators reports found that TPD arrests more than twice as many Black adults when compared to white adults, earning an equality score of 40 out of 100. During her testimony at a special meeting, Panelist Poulter pointed out that in all but one Tulsa zip code, TPD arrested Black adults more than the percentage of the Black population of that zip code. Panelist Mana Tahaie, Equity Consultant, explained further, “when we conflate the arrest rate with the crime rate, we condemn communities that are profiled to a continuous cycle of over-policing. Reinforcement bias from fishing in the same pond can result in very skewed data that looks an awful lot like some people are simply more criminal.”

Not only does TPD disproportionately arrest Black adults, many arrests are for low-level, non-violent crimes. For example, according to testimony from panelist TPD Deputy Chief Jonathan Brooks, of the arrests that TPD officers made in 2018, the two most common arrests were for warrants and narcotics. Additionally, Deputy Chief Brooks noted, nearly half of all TPD arrests in 2018 were for warrants (half of which, data shows, are for traffic offenses), representing the largest category of TPD arrests. He went on to say that TPD arrests in 2018 for disorderly conduct, narcotics, and larceny were also large. And at the same meeting, panelist Poulter added that while Black Tulsans account for just 14% of the population, they comprise 41% of TPD arrests for traffic offenses, 38% of TPD arrests for disorderly conduct, 46% of TPD arrests
for arrest warrants, and 40% of TPD arrests and criminal citations for narcotics. A 2019 report by the Human Rights Watch also found that Black people in Tulsa were disproportionately arrested.

The harm caused by TPD’s practice of arresting Black and Latinx Tulsans for low-level offenses is compounded by the use by county and municipal courts of legislatively enacted court fines, fees, and costs. Recent research has noted that “[o]ver the course of twenty years, the violent and property crime rates in Oklahoma dropped 37.2 percent and 39.8 percent respectively.” At the same time, “the rate of jail admissions rose 71.1 percent and Oklahoma prisons have doubled their populations, from 12,078 in 1994 to 28,093 in 2014.” TPD’s aggressive arrest practices for low-level offenses, including warrants for traffic offenses and unpaid fines and fees are contributing to Oklahoma’s extraordinary rate of incarceration—one of the highest, incarceration rates in the United States and world. And these arrests have disproportionately impacted Black Tulsans.

TPD also arrests far more women than the national average. Tulsa’s Equality Indicators reports evaluated the ratio of arrests of women in Tulsa to the U.S. average. In 2018, the arrest rate per 1,000 women in the United States was 14.8, while in Tulsa it was 30.5. In 2019, Tulsa’s arrest rate for women (26.5) was still nearly two times the national average (15.4). This trend continued in 2020 as Tulsa’s arrest rate for women (29.1) and over two times the national average (14.0).

In addition to these disturbing data regarding TPD’s arrests, testimony from community members who spoke at the City Council’s public comment sessions and special meeting raises serious concerns about the behavior of TPD officers. Tulsans described in numerous incidents being stopped and searched by TPD officers and reported officers’ behavior was problematic and disrespectful.

For example, a Black woman relayed her encounter with a TPD officer as she was driving home during an ice storm with her children in the backseat of her vehicle. A TPD officer stopped her and told her that she was driving
slightly outside of her lane. Rather than understanding how the weather might have contributed to her driving, she testified the TPD officer asked if she was on drugs. She told him, “Well officer, I’ve never even been high. I don’t drink.” Even so, the officer proceeded to “test” her in front of her children, and “found nothing.” The woman testified that the officer failed to behave with “sensitivity” or apologize.

Another Black woman, a manager at the Tulsa City-County Health Department described her experience with TPD officers as they raided her home one early morning. She testified that at 6:00 A.M. on a weekday, TPD officers knocked on her front door demanding to search her home because they “believe[d] that this was a drug house.” She asked for time to get dressed because she was still in her nightgown. TPD officers refused and handcuffed her. She asked to read the search warrant and noticed that the address on the search warrant was not the address of her home. She alerted TPD officers of their mistake, but they ignored her and proceeded to tear her home apart, overturning mattresses. TPD officers placed her and her husband in the bathroom and interrogated them for one to two hours. TPD officers reportedly asked them, “How can you afford a house like this? This is a drug house!” She stated they also accused her husband of being a member of the Bloods gang because he drove a red truck. TPD officers eventually left, leaving the home “totally destroyed” and for her to put back together. TPD officers terrorized this couple and destroyed their home. However, the woman and her family decided not to file a lawsuit fearing that TPD officers might retaliate.

Another Black Tulsan described his experience with TPD officers, stating that he had:

“... been harassed several times. Once I was going to the schoolhouse to pick up the kids and a police officer told me that my taillight came on three seconds late. So, he had me step out of the car and put me in the back. Had my kids step out [of] the car and put us in the back. And he said ‘you got any drugs, just let us know. You not gonna get in trouble for it.’ And I’m like ‘you pulled me over for a traffic violation. Like, why are you talking about drugs?’ And he was like ‘don’t worry about it.’ So he had us in the back detained while two more officers was checking and searching for drugs.”

After the officers completed the search and found nothing, “they let us go.”

He also shared that he and his cousin were stopped at least three separate times by TPD officers and ordered to exit their vehicle while officers searched their vehicle for drugs. When asked why their vehicle was being searched, TPD officers replied, “we are just doing our daily routine.” He expressed frustration about the lack of accountability and stated that he did not want “to be one of those people who gets shot” for trying to defend himself.

The testimony of community members and TPD’s data reflect what Black Tulsans have known and said for many years. As Tulsa’s Black leaders have previously reported, “fear of police and experience of mistreatment by police are facts of life in their communities.” Tulsa must commit to rectifying the harm caused by TPD’s aggressive policing of Tulsa’s Black residents.

Expert Panelists and National Research Provide Insights on the Biases Towards Black Adults Likely Underlying the Racial Disparities in Tulsa’s Policing Practices

For almost 60 years, social psychologists have documented the false and consequential association of Blackness with criminality. While the causes of this association are beyond the scope of this report, the “automatic stereotyping process” operates such that “the mere presence of a person can lead one to think about
the concepts with which that person’s social group has become associated.”109 “The mere presence of a Black man, for instance, can trigger thoughts that he is violent and criminal.”110

Further, as found in a study by panelist Dr. Eberhardt, “[n]ot only are Blacks thought of as criminal, but also crime is thought of as Black.”111 Dr. Eberhardt’s research found that in comparison to white faces, “Black faces triggered a form of racialized seeing that facilitated the processing of crime-relevant objects, regardless of individual differences in racial attitudes.”112 She noted that “mere exposure to Black faces facilitated the detection of crime-relevant objects” such as weapons while “mere exposure to White faces inhibited the detection of crime relevant objects.”113

Law enforcement officers were not exempt from having this bias: when officers who participated in the study were primed with a Black face, they also associated it with crime-relevant objects, and crime with a Black face.114 “When officers were given no information other than a face and when they were explicitly directed to make judgments of criminality... Black faces looked more criminal to police..."
officers; the more Black, the more criminal.\textsuperscript{115} As Dr. Eberhardt demonstrated through her rigorous study, “[t]hese results provide additional evidence that police officers associate Blacks with the specific concept of crime.”\textsuperscript{116}

The effect of this is that “police officers may face elevated levels of danger in the presence of White armed suspects in comparison with Black armed suspects” because “if police officers have a delayed response to White suspects with guns or knives, these officers may be more likely to get hurt, shot, or killed when confronting White armed suspects in comparison with Black armed suspects.”\textsuperscript{117} And for Black people, even when they are innocent, they “may easily become the targets of intense visual surveillance by both police officers and the lay public.”\textsuperscript{118} This research posits that racial profiling “may be rooted in more fundamental perceptual processes than previously recognized.”\textsuperscript{119}

The study also suggests that Black people “may be most vulnerable to false identifications in real criminal lineups” because “when officers were tested on their memory for the target faces, they were more likely to falsely identify a face that was more stereotypically Black than the target when they were primed with crime than when not primed with crime.”\textsuperscript{120}

To mitigate the effects of this bias on officers’ decision-making, Dr. Eberhardt recommended TPD refocus its stop practices to become “intelligence-led.”\textsuperscript{121} Dr. Eberhardt explained that requiring officers to think about whether a stop was intelligence-led “caused [officers] to slow down and changed their mindset about making the stop” and made officers consider “is this a high priority stop?” and “how many of my other stops have actually been intel-led?”\textsuperscript{122} Dr. Eberhardt testified that in Oakland, the introduction and implementation of this policy led stops to drop from 32,000 in 2017 to 19,000 in 2018 and stops among Black people “dropped by about 43% with no increase in crime.”\textsuperscript{123} This change in policy permitted the Oakland Police Department to begin a period of introspection and grappling with how bias affected its decision-making processes.\textsuperscript{124} TPD must implement similar practices that manage bias, mitigate its effects, and prevent it from infecting officers’ judgments in law enforcement decisions.
Exploration of Alternatives to Arrests

Instead of arresting Tulsans, TPD must explore alternatives in all but the most serious and violent offenses. Panelist Robin Engel, professor at the University of Cincinnati, shared that in Hamilton County, Ohio jail space became limited, which forced the police to work with the community and begin “thinking about the use of arrest as a limited commodity: How do we want to use that tool?” The Hamilton County police recognized that “it’s not a matter of can we arrest, it’s should we arrest.” As a result, according to Engel, police made fewer arrests without an increase in crime; Engel urged TPD to similarly rethink its use of arrest as a tool for public safety.

Similarly, a report from the Vera Institute of Justice advises that “police should use arrest sparingly, intentionally, and transparently” and treat it as a limited commodity. Tulsa city officials must identify, promote, and invest in alternatives to enforcement that do not involve the criminal legal system and create structural incentives for police to use alternatives. Throughout the public meeting, panelists Aisha McWeay, Execute Director of Still She Rises Tulsa, Mana Tahaie, and Robin Engel outlined important considerations for rethinking the role of an arrest including: the purpose and goal of an arrest; whether those goals are met by an arrest; how an arrest affects people and communities directly, indirectly, intentionally, and unintentionally; and the seriousness of the violation and its proportionality to the consequences of an arrest. McWeay urged that violations of quality of life and minor offenses such as public intoxication, curfew, disorderly conduct, vagrancy, trespass, contempt of court, and public disorder be addressed without resorting to criminalization.

These alternatives to arrest must also be held to standards of equity and fairness. Panelists shared that the meeting that the department recently opened a sobering center as an alternative to arrest for intoxicated individuals picked up by police. However, between April and July 2019, TPD’s referral rate of Black Tulsans to the sobering center was half the referral rate of other Tulsans. Black Tulsans must have equal access to alternatives to arrest.

TPD Uses Force More Frequently on Black Tulsans

TPD Officers Use Force More Frequently on Black Tulsans, and These Incidents Cause Trauma for Families and Communities

The Tulsa Equality Indicators reports evaluated disparities in uses of force by officers during arrest. While Black people constituted only 15% of the city of Tulsa’s total population, in 2019, they comprised 37% of people against whom TPD officers used force. The 2018 Tulsa Equality Indicators report found that white people were “half as likely to experience officer use of force as Black” people. The 2020 Equality Indicators report gave TPD a use of force score of 16 out of 100, a score even lower than its 2018 score of 20 out of 100.

TPD officers have used excessive force against persons who were experiencing a crisis or who had a disability. In 2009, Jerard Drew, an unarmed Black man experiencing a mental health crisis was restrained, beaten, pepper-sprayed, handcuffed, and laid face down
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as TPD officers tried to take him into custody. He lost consciousness and was pronounced dead at a hospital. His family filed a lawsuit against the City of Tulsa.

In addition, Roma Snowball Presley, the mother of Joshua Wayne Harvey, testified at the March 7, 2019 community-led public meeting on racial disparities in policing about losing her son after his 2018 encounter with TPD officers and its effect on her. Presley stated, “[l]osing my son was the hardest thing I’ve ever had to face, and I’m still facing it to this day.” She testified that she:

“watched as [TPD] Officers Douglas and Harris violated Tulsa Police Department Procedures 31-101E, by tasing Joshua with two tasers simultaneously. [She] watched as the officer tased [her] son, no less than twenty-seven times in less than three minutes.”

Indeed, TPD’s policy on the use of a taser, 31-101E, states “[o]fficers shall not simultaneously deploy [a taser] on one individual...”

Presley urged city leaders to question the institutional failures that contributed to her son’s death. She asked:

“Why was my son chased by the police when he had done nothing wrong? Why was he approached by, no less than four officers, who surrounded him, knowing he was not in a stable mindset? Why did they treat him like a wild animal instead of a human being he was? Why did they joke around while my son pled for his life? Why is a Black man, who was doing no harm to anybody, pose such a threat to warrant the police intervention? Why is a Black man’s life less important to Tulsa Police than the bank’s front door? Why is a Black man’s life less important to Tulsa Police than any officer’s comfort? Why is a Black man’s life something to laugh about? Why would you laugh about it; what is funny? He’s dying. He’s dead. He’s gone. There’s no more Joshua. The police killed him. Twenty-seven times they tased my child. Twenty-seven times.”

Likewise, during the March 2019 community-led public meeting Dr. Tiffany Crutcher spoke to her experiences of losing her brother, Terence Crutcher, stating:
Why is a Black man’s life less important to Tulsa Police than the bank’s front door? Why is a Black man’s life less important to Tulsa Police than any officer’s comfort?"

Roma Snowball Presley, mother of Joshua Wayne Harvey who was killed by TPD officers in 2018
It’s been 902 days since no one has been held accountable and we’ve been fighting week after week after week after week trying to get this city to value Black lives.”

Dr. Tiffany Crutcher
Twin sister of Terence Crutcher
“We asked for one simple thing. For you all to figure out why. Why this happened to my twin brother. And all I heard was we don’t want to be cussed at. We don’t want to be antagonized. We don’t want to bash the police. But every day I look at this. My brother’s bloody clothes. His shoes. His necklace. His socks. His prosthetic eye. And you’re concerned about being antagonized? Phil Lakin, you said stay neutral? You gotta pick a side; a neutral car goes nowhere. Mayor Bynum, you said [the public meeting on March 7, 2019] was simply a PR stunt. Do you think my brother being slaughtered by a killer cop is a PR stunt? Do you think my parents coming up here every single week is a PR stunt? The fact that I would fly back and forth every single month to fight for police reform? You think that’s a PR stunt? I was shocked when I heard you say that. I was shocked when I heard you say that.”

“All we want to do is to sit down and have a conversation with the people who we pay to serve and protect us. That’s all we want to do. But you want to protect them. And this is what we get. This is what my niece, and my nephews, and my parents get. This is all we have left, his prosthetic eye. This is all we have and you think this is a PR stunt? And we’re coming up here week after week asking for common sense police reform? You think that’s a PR stunt? I was shocked when I heard you say that. I was shocked when I heard you say that.”

Unfortunately despite this impassioned and eloquent plea months later, some city officials demonstrated that they failed to recognize the gravity of TPD officers’ uses of force, and its impact on victims of police violence, and their families. During the August 14, 2019, special meeting on use of force, Councilor Connie Dodson used part of her limited questioning period to jokingly ask TPD Major Ryan Perkins whether officers allow prospective officers to “tase each other” during training.148 Major Perkins laughed and responded that only instructors tase candidates.149 Councilor Dodson laughed, “Maybe you should add that, because tasing each other, for some of them, might be a draw.”150 This jovial exchange was jarring and disrespectful. It undermines the gravity of the experiences shared by Black Tulsans and belittles the pain of family members who have seen their loved ones injured or killed as a result of TPD officers’ use of excessive force.

The testimony shared by victims of police violence and their families illustrates what researchers have reported for some time—use of force by police officers impacts not only the individuals against whom force is used, but also their families and the health of entire communities.151

The Role of Bias in Officers’ Uses of Force Must Be Considered

Because TPD disproportionately uses force against Black Tulsans, it is important to consider the role that bias may play in officers’ decision-making. “Research demonstrates that [implicit racial biases] can cause individuals to interpret identical facial expressions as more hostile on [B]lack faces than on white faces, and to perceive identical ambiguous behaviors as more aggressive when engaged in by [B]lack as opposed to whites.”152 In addition, “implicit biases explain the tendency to unconsciously associate [B]lack with danger and criminality.”153 A related but separate phenomenon known as, “implicit dehumanization” creates a further “tendency to unconsciously associate [B]lack with beasts, particularly apes.”154 “Disturbingly, in two recent studies, [researchers] found that implicit dehumanization not only facilitates hegemonic racial violence, but also helps people feel more comfortable with it.”155 In an article explaining the studies, researchers stated:
“In the one study, [researchers] examined the effects of implicit dehumanization on police use of force by comparing officers’ actual use of force history against juveniles with their implicit dehumanization score. What they found was that officers who held the association more strongly were also more likely to have used force on the street against Black as opposed to white youth. Importantly, this finding was not influenced by an officer’s explicit racial bias.

“In another study, [researchers] had participants watch a video of a brutal police beating of a suspect and asked them to rate whether or not the use of force was justified... Subjects who held the association more strongly were more likely to find the beating of a Black suspect more acceptable than the use of identical force against a white suspect.”

Consider the statement made by an officer in the helicopter above Terence Crutcher moments before Crutcher was shot and killed, remarking that Mr. Crutcher “looks like a bad dude...” How an officer could perceive from such a distance a person who has shown no intent to harm anyone to be “a bad dude,” raises questions about the basis on which the officer made the statement. It suggests that biases about Black people may have played a role. Dr. Eberhardt wrote about her review of the video footage showing the killing of Terence Crutcher, noting that, despite the statement of the officer in the helicopter, what she saw was “Crutcher placing his hands on the roof of his car and leaning toward it. Then suddenly his body slumps toward the ground,” as he is shot. After Mr. Crutcher is fatally shot, “[a] clutch of officers surrounds and comforts Shelby” while Mr. Crutcher lies “untended on the pavement, bleeding to death.” The officers’ decision to comfort Officer Shelby while Mr. Crutcher lay bleeding to death may also reflect implicit dehumanization.

In considering whether to use force, officers must often make split-second decisions. As Dr. Eberhardt warns, it is precisely in those moments, when bias impacts decision making. Dr. Eberhardt stated, “when we feel stressed, when we feel threatened, when we feel depleted, we are more likely to act on our biases.” Further, Dr. Eberhardt also warned that failures to hold officers accountable can exacerbate officers’ likelihood of acting on their own biases. She explained, “[w]hen we’re not held accountable or when we don’t hold ourselves accountable, we’re more likely to act on bias.” The consequences of failing to manage bias are dire. She added that “when left unchecked, implicit bias can be just as harmful as old-fashioned racism even though it is not intended. It can upend lives. It can reduce [people’s] life outcomes. It can lead them to live their lives in fear.”

In 2018, TPD arrested young Black Tulsans more than 3x as often as it did to young white Tulsans. TPD subjected Black Tulsans to use of force 2x as often as it did to white Tulsans.
TPD’s Failure to Embrace the Need for Change

Another impediment is TPD’s resistance to acknowledging a need for change. Rather than acknowledge and commit to ending the disparities in officers’ uses of force, TPD downplays its disparity in using force, including by enlisting the University of Texas San Antonio to develop a study of its own. Unsurprisingly, the study pointed to data “slippage,” “weaknesses in the TPD processes for documenting force-related incidents,” “surprisingly high” rates of canine use of force, and the fact that “TPD is probably not capturing as much as 50 percent of force used by police.”

Unlike the Equality Indicators reports, the analysis uses arrest totals, as opposed to total population as a denominator, to eliminate race as a statistical predictor in use of force. By doing so, it excludes contacts with the police that do not result in arrest — which, according to the University of Texas at San Antonio's findings, account for at least 24% of incidents studied. Additionally, it fails to account for Tulsa’s disparities in arrests whereby TPD disproportionately arrests Black Tulsans, including for minor, non-violent, and quality of life offenses.

The Tulsa Equality Indicators reports intentionally use the general population as the denominator for its use of force ratio rather than the number of arrestees. As panelist Poulter explained during the July 17, 2019 City Council special meeting, the team chose to measure use of force based on population data rather than number of people arrested or contacted by police because police use of force “is a public health issue. It impacts the social, emotional and physical well-being of whole communities.” The testimony of Tulsans who have lost family members to TPD officers’ excessive use of force illustrates this truth. Ms. Presley stated, in speaking about her son’s tragic death:

“Give us a remedy for the problem of racists in policing in Tulsa. Please help us ensure that no more Black men and women will die unnecessarily, and that no more Black families fall apart as a result of this problem the city presently refuses to fix. We demand answers. We demand transparency. We demand accountability. We demand justice.”

As panelist Taiaie pointed out, in order for TPD to change, it “has to want to change, there has to be a vision for how it wants to look in the future, there has to be an accurate reckoning of what it looks like now, there has to be expectations set for change,” and the City has “to hold people accountable for those expectations.” Indeed, as the 2020 Tulsa Equality Indicators report recognized, “Systemic racism and implicit bias throughout the entire criminal justice system have been found to significantly contribute to these disproportionate levels.” Black and Latinx Tulsans have rung the alarm bells for decades about TPD’s racially disparate policing, as confirmed by the Tulsa Equality Indicators reports.

TPD must commit to adopting concrete policies and practices to prevent the use of unnecessary force. It must
document all force and prohibit the use of force except when necessary and proportional, after all other reasonable alternatives have been exhausted. Additionally, TPD must hold officers accountable if they use excessive force.

**Data and Information show Tulsa Officials and TPD Need A System of Public Safety that Protects All Tulsans, Invests in Infrastructure for People in Crisis and Responds to Impacted Community Members’ Demands**

Throughout the special meetings, leaders and residents alike expressed a shared goal of ensuring the safety of all Tulsans. However, creating safety requires investing adequate resources to ensure all people, including those with disabilities, can meet their basic needs and access education, employment, healthcare, food, and housing. The data show that Tulsa has failed to make that investment. Today the poverty rate of Black residents of Tulsa is nearly three times the rate of white residents. Black Tulsans who live in north Tulsa must travel greater distances to get healthy, fresh produce from a grocery store. North Tulsa zip code 74106 has the highest percentage of both Black residents and people living below the poverty line. The average lifespan of residents of north Tulsa is 70 years old, as compared with south Tulsa zip codes 74133 and 74137, which have the lowest percentages of Black residents, and where residents live an average of 11 years longer.

Additionally, more than 17% of Tulsa’s total operating budget for 2020 was allocated to TPD alone – nearly $122 million out of a $713 million budget. In contrast, the City allocated only 1.5% of its budget to the public transit system, or $10.8 million. Likewise, emergency and medical services—crucial to serving the community’s health needs—received 1% of funds, or $7.7 million. This disparity is not for a lack of funding - indeed, when the City decided to hire 175 additional police officers, it raised its tax rate to expand the force.

Tulsa city officials must intentionally and purposefully invest in the areas where it is most needed, including access to food, medicine, affordable housing, and community-based responses to people with behavioral health or other disabilities or in crisis. In 2016, Tulsa was ranked eleventh in the nation for the highest rate of evictions. Tulsa’s eviction crisis may have been made worse by the COVID-19 pandemic. Tulsa must invest in housing for low-income families and people with disabilities or in crisis.

Six months after the killing of Terence Crutcher, on March 10, 2017, expert panelists before the Tulsa Commission on Community Policing recommended that TPD should adopt specific public safety and community policing practices. Panelist Tahaie explained that community policing meant neighbors have an actual relationship with their officers. Panelist McWeay cautioned that solutions that are not community-informed are rudderless:
“... people have different issues and encumbrances and barriers for why they are not appearing in court and why this might be a challenge for them ... I don’t think we can... come up with a programmatic solution that is tailored towards the community without getting some real feedback from people who have faced these challenges and who can give us the direct impact on a day to day basis of what this looks like.”\textsuperscript{186}

She further stated the City should ask the “people who are tied in this system ... what do you need and what should it look like in order to service you and assist you and help you?”\textsuperscript{187}

TPD must ensure its priorities and practices are aligned with the community’s values.\textsuperscript{188}

**TPD Personnel Are Not Diverse**

The Equality Indicators reports found racial and gender inequity in the employment rates of TPD officers. The city’s 2020 report found TPD had a score of 20 out of 100 in racial equality in employment, an improvement from 18 in 2018 and 30 out of 100 in gender equality in employment, down from 32 in 2018.\textsuperscript{189} These poor scores are no surprise as TPD has had to address race discrimination claims by its officers.\textsuperscript{190}

Panelist Poulter urged TPD to diversify its personnel based on recommendations in the Final Report of the President’s Task Force on 21st Century Policing, which recommended that “law enforcement agencies should strive to create a workforce that encompasses a broad range of diversity.”\textsuperscript{191} Poulter added,

“... research shows that a diverse work force that reflects its community benefits everyone. When community members feel that law enforcement understand them and can relate to them because they actually represent them, police-community relations improved overall and trust in law enforcement grows. Increased diversity can inspire law enforcement agencies to be more open to reform, more willing to initiate cultural and systemic change, and more responsive to residents’ needs.”\textsuperscript{192}
Other expert panelists weighed in on how TPD can become more diverse and inclusive. As panelist Sandra Quince, Senior Vice President of Global Diversity & Inclusion at Bank of America, stated, “diversity and inclusion has to be integrated into everything that you do.” For example, “panel interviews are important, and it needs to be a diverse panel.” Additionally, Quince recommended that TPD implement review committees that can “look across all promotions to make sure that those promotions promotions are diverse in nature and that you’re promoting at a rate at or above your current employment rate or your representation.”

Quince further added, “ethnically diverse talent requires sponsorship and mentorship... if they come into an organization and they are not sponsored, they will not be successful or as successful.” Panelist Lynn L. Jones, a retired TPD major, echoed that sponsorships are “really important, and especially for women.”

TPD Deputy Chief Dalgleish and Major Ryan Perkins shared that TPD designated a recruiter for individuals from the Latinx community, after an inquiry from the Department of Justice raising concerns about language barriers at TPD, recognizing the need to diversify its force. Additionally, Major Perkins shared that TPD recruits Black candidates from colleges, universities, and military bases.

Importantly, as identified by panelist Quince, “diversity and inclusion starts from the top. So, that message has to start with your... police chief... and then there has to be some accountability.” As panelist Quince offered, a leader is inclusive when they:

1. Are trustworthy and build a culture of values and respect;
2. Are transparent and value open dialogue and others’ perspectives;
3. Invest in enabling people of diverse backgrounds to succeed; and
4. Are purposeful in creating a fair and collaborative environment.

She also suggested conducting “bias checks” that involve prompts that assist an individual to recognize their own bias, explanations for how that bias can infect decision-making, and provides tips on mitigating that bias. These bias checks have the potential to positively impact hiring and promoting within TPD.

Diversifying TPD’s workforce must be coupled with changes to its policing strategies and practices to eliminate the disproportionate uses of force and arrests of Black residents, particularly for low-level or non-violent crimes that Tulsans have spoken out about and that Equality Indicators reports and other data confirms. Hiring a diverse staff will not resolve the racial disparities in law enforcement activity if TPD does not change its policing strategies and practices.

Diversity in leadership is also not a silver bullet. No example is clearer than Wendell Franklin, who, after an announcement of his appointment to become TPD’s first Black police chief, flatly denied that TPD engaged in racially biased policing, without explaining the disparities in TPD officers’ arrests and uses of force involving Black Tulsans. A similar statement this summer by Major Travis Yates that “systemic racism in policing ‘just doesn’t exist’” and that based on research he reviewed, officers are shooting African Americans “24 percent less than we probably ought to be” is highly problematic. While the Major claims the statement regarding the rate of shootings of African Americans was taken out of context, his refusal to acknowledge systemic racism is equally troubling. TPD leadership and officers of all races, ethnicities, and gender, must acknowledge and address racial disparities in policing services.

“LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES SHOULD STRIVE TO CREATE A WORKFORCE THAT ENCOMPASSES A BROAD RANGE OF DIVERSITY.”
**RECOMMENDATIONS**

### KEY REFORMS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Last summer, Tulsans, like millions of Americans nationwide, organized and participated in mass demonstrations to protest police violence, to demand accountability for police misconduct and brutality, and to urge a rethinking of the public safety framework in America. Tulsa’s protests mirrored those that took place in every one of the 50 states in the aftermath of the recent police killings of George Floyd in Minnesota, Breonna Taylor in Kentucky, Carlos Ingram-Lopez in Arizona, Tony McDade in Florida, and Rayshard Brooks in Georgia.206 But as the testimony throughout the Tulsa City Council public listening sessions and special meetings demonstrates, members of Tulsa’s Black and Latinx communities have long been demanding the City take action to address its long history of racially-biased policing.

It is time for the City to act by adopting the recommendations below.

1. **The Mayor and City Council of Tulsa Must Formally Acknowledge The History of Racial Discrimination in Tulsa and Commit to Addressing It – Particularly in Public Safety Services**

   **Make a Formal Acknowledgement and Public Commitment**

   As the 100th anniversary of the Tulsa Race Massacre approaches, the City of Tulsa has arranged citywide events and garnered national attention.207 The City of Tulsa must formally acknowledge the history of violence against Black Tulsans and commit to rectifying it.208 Indeed, it
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The Mayor and City Council of Tulsa Must Formally Acknowledge The History of Racial Discrimination in Tulsa and Commit to Addressing It – Particularly in Public Safety Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The City Must Reduce its Reliance on Policing and TPD must Reduce its Disproportionate Arrests of Black Tulsans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The Mayor, City Council, and TPD Must Improve Transparency into Officers’ Actions By Requiring Data Collection and Routine Reporting of Data Regarding Officers’ Law Enforcement Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The Mayor, City Council, and TPD Must Create a Plan to End Racial Disparities in Use of Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Tulsans Impacted by Over-policing Must Have Meaningful Input into What Public Safety in Tulsa Means</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>TPD Must Limit its Interactions with Youth to Prevent their Involvement in the Criminal Legal System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>City Officials Must Adopt and Implement Community Oversight Mechanisms to Hold Officers Accountable for Misconduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Diversity of TPD Personnel is Not a Panacea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
will be impossible to address racial disparities in policing practices if there is no acknowledgment that it exists.

An acknowledgement may take several forms. For example, the Mayor could issue an executive order, or the City Council could issue a resolution recognizing the persistent racial disparities that the City’s own data reveals and listing concrete steps each branch of government will take to address the problem, such as repealing ordinances that result in racial disparities in arrests of Black and Latinx Tulsans, and disparate engagement with the criminal legal system. Without an acknowledgement of past harm and commitment to ending it, the next page in the story of Tulsa will be tainted by the same racialized violence that has defined its past.

2. The City Must Reduce its Reliance on Policing and TPD must Reduce its Disproportionate Arrests of Black Tulsans

The Mayor and City Council Must Invest in Community-Based Services to Address Needs to which Law Enforcement Officers are Ill-Equipped to Respond

First, there is widespread agreement that law enforcement officers are ill-equipped to respond to calls for service involving people in crisis or with mental health disabilities who are in need of medical, mental or behavioral health services. Too often, these incidents result in unnecessarily criminalizing and using force on people with disabilities or experiencing crisis.

Tulsa officials must implement the essential elements of an integrated crisis system, at a capacity sufficient for Tulsa’s needs, including: (1) a regional crisis call center; (2) crisis mobile team response; and (3) crisis receiving and stabilization facilities. While pillar four of the Tulsa Commission recommendations includes proposals for mental and behavioral health professionals trained to de-escalate crises and to connect persons to community services to address health needs, it contemplates the continued involvement of police in these interactions. We urge the Mayor and City Council to invest in programs and adopt practices that will support the mental and behavioral health needs of Tulsa without the involvement of law enforcement personnel. Decoupling crisis response from policing is critical to decriminalizing mental and behavioral illnesses.

Second, the Mayor and City Council must invest resources in youth development and support programs, to decrease youth interactions with law enforcement. For example, a 2017 resolution by the International Association of Chiefs of Police identified “increasing the access, affordability and quality of early education and care programs, out of school time programs... and community-based alternative programs” and encouraged public officials to invest in programs that “improve youth development and community outcomes overall.” Investments in such programs are necessary to eliminate the unnecessary criminalization of youth.

Third, the Mayor and City Council must invest in permanent housing and provide necessary services for people who are unhoused to decrease law enforcement officers’ interactions with unhoused people. Criminalizing people who are unhoused is an ineffective and expensive policy choice that harms public safety and fails to address the underlying root cause in most cases of law enforcement’s interactions with unhoused people: a lack of housing.

The Mayor and City Council Must Create a System that Diverts Calls from 911 to Non-Police Resources

Law enforcement officers should not respond to calls that do not require the presence of an armed emergency responder. According to a report published by the Vera Institute, a great majority of calls to 911 in New York City, Seattle, and Tucson, Arizona, did not involve a crime in progress. Diverting nonemergency calls to more appropriate specialized services preserves law enforcement resources and reduces needless interactions between police and community members. For example, non-police resources should address calls that do not involve a crime such as traffic accidents and behavioral health crises. This requires the City to make a twofold commitment: train dispatchers to divert nonemergency calls accordingly, and adequately resource and equip non-law enforcement responders.
The City Council Must Repeal Laws That Criminalize Minor, Quality of Life Offenses

TPD’s policies and practices of making arrests for low-level, non-violent offenses lead to the over-criminalization of low-income communities that are also disproportionately Black. As noted by panelist McWeay, violations of codes such as public intoxication, curfew, disorderly conduct, vagrancy, trespass, contempt of court, and public disorder must not be used to issue fines and criminalize Tulsans, creating a cycle of poverty and incarceration.220

The City should engage with local criminal law reform advocates and academics who are intimately familiar with the experiences of people impacted by TPD’s disparate policing practices to evaluate TPD’s arrest data. The City should then publish data on charges for which people of color were disproportionately arrested over the last five years and solicit public input on specific ordinances and policies to amend or repeal. The City Council should repeal city ordinances for quality of life and low-level non-violent offenses that have been disproportionately used to arrest Black Tulsans.221

Likewise, city officials must remedy the harms of over-criminalization by establishing an expungement program for adults and youth arrested for low-level non-violent offenses, for which TPD has disproportionately arrested Black Tulsans.222 While the trauma of an arrest cannot be erased, the collateral consequences of an arrest, charge, or conviction must not continue to pose a barrier. The expungement program must actively assist those arrested, charged, or convicted of low level or quality of life offenses such as trespassing, public intoxication, and traffic infractions. Several resources and guidance from neighboring states can help the City design and streamline such a system.223

Concurrently, the City Council must pass an ordinance reforming the fines and fees structure of Tulsa’s municipal court system and the collection of those fines and fees. Until such an ordinance is passed, TPD should issue a directive to officers with instructions to not arrest individuals for an outstanding warrant for failure to pay a court fine or fee.224

TPD Must Develop Practices that Reduce or Eliminate Arrests for Low-Level, Non-Violent Offenses and Eliminate Racial Disparities in Arrests

TPD should implement practices that: (1) reduce officers’ discretionary stops of Black Tulsans, (2) promote equitable treatment of Black Tulsans during stops such as in questioning, and (3) encourage warnings for minor or low-level nonviolent offenses such as unpaid fines and fees and traffic offenses.225 TPD should require documentation about whether a stop is intelligence-led or based on specific information tying a particular individual to an actual crime, for all discretionary and proactive stops to encourage high-priority stops and decrease stops for low-level offenses.

Additionally, TPD should implement the kind of bias checks panelist Quince recommended.226 These bias checks must include questions to make potential biases visible and provide techniques and methods to combat and mitigate the effects of implicit bias on officers’ decision-making.

TPD must also develop a policy that requires officers to inform individuals of their rights during encounters, including an individual’s right to refuse a consent search.227

TPD must incentivize officers to resolve disputes without resorting to arrests. Arrests often do not address the underlying dispute which led to officer involvement. TPD should encourage officers to engage in problem-solving to resolve disputes and avoid arrests, particularly for low-level offenses. TPD should reward officers, for example, through the review and promotional process, who do engage in problem-solving and successfully resolve disputes without resorting to arrests. Given the disproportionate number of arrests against Black Tulsans, and the large portion of which are for non-violent activity and which trap Tulsans into a cycle of debt through fines and fees, TPD officers should be encouraged to not make unnecessary arrests.228

“Without an acknowledgement of past harm and commitment to ending it, the next page in the story of Tulsa will be tainted by the same racialized violence that has defined its past.”
The Mayor, City Council, and TPD Must Improve Transparency into Officers’ Actions By Requiring Data Collection and Routine Reporting of Data Regarding Officers’ Law Enforcement Activity

The Mayor, City Council, and TPD Must Improve TPD’s Data Collection Practices Relating to Arrests, Stops, and Searches and Routinely Report These Data

TPD must collect and publicly report these data in a consistent, disaggregated manner that allows for crosstab analysis by race and other variables.

TPD’s response to an officer’s use of force must also be transparent. TPD must improve its data collection of force incidents. The study conducted by the University of Texas at San Antonio recognized that TPD is likely failing to capture as much as 50% of force used by police when it does not collect data on force incidents that do not result in injury. TPD must accurately record incidents of force, including when officers brandish a weapon, when force does not result in injury, and when force is used in non-arrest encounters.

TPD must also make publicly available use of force reports, documents relating to use of force investigations, and its conclusions regarding use of force incidents, particularly deadly force.

TPD Must Improve its Data Collection and Reporting Practices Relating to Youth Encounters

TPD must collect data on the origination of youth encounters, including details on whether youth were charged with a felony or misdemeanor, charged with a state or municipal offense, and arrested or given a warning. Additionally, Tulsa-area public school districts and campus police must collect data regarding their interactions, including enforcement encounters and arrests, with the communities they serve. On an annual basis, these data must be evaluated and reported to the public.
4. The Mayor, City Council, and TPD Must Create a Plan to End Racial Disparities in Use of Force

The Mayor, City Council, and TPD Must Hold its Officers Accountable for Violations of Use of Force Policies and Training and Collect and Report Accurate Data

From 2014-2018, TPD investigated 40 deadly force incidents and determined that only one incident was conducted outside of TPD’s deadly force policy. To ensure adequate oversight of the investigation of these incidents, the City Council must pass legislation and/or the Mayor issue an executive order requiring the criminal investigations of deadly force incidents to be conducted by an external and independent entity, and publicly report the outcomes of these investigations.

The City of Tulsa, with input from impacted community members, should identify and implement an oversight mechanism that is truly independent, thorough, rigorous, fair, and acceptable to the community to evaluate officers’ uses of force and misconduct.

TPD Must Decrease the Frequency of Officers Uses of Force, Particularly Against Black Tulsans by Adopting a New Use of Force Standard

Some states have required, and local police departments have adopted, use of force policies that go beyond what is required by the U.S. Constitution and TPD must do the same. TPD’s use of force standard must require that any force used be necessary, meaning the minimum amount required to achieve a legitimate purpose, and proportional, considering the harm likely to be caused through the use of force and the benefit of any legitimate objective to be achieved.

As described by expert panelist Dr. Eberhardt, officers rely on their prior associations and implicit biases when they make split-second decisions. To prevent this, TPD officers must be required to slow police encounters down and take the time to consider decisions. De-escalation techniques must be required. TPD policy must require officers to exhaust all other reasonable alternative methods to avoid use of force.

TPD should eliminate its use of force continuum because the tool is overly rigid and inhibits officers from engaging in problem-solving techniques. TPD should incorporate PERF’s 2016 Guiding Principles regarding use of force into its policy.
As recommended by panelists McWeay and Engel, TPD should create a process to invite community feedback about its use of force policy and incorporate recommendations from community members.

TPD must also change its use of force policies to include additional requirements as recommended by law enforcement leaders and experts:

1. Require reporting and documentation of all force that results in temporary pain, including control techniques that do not lead to injury.
2. Requiring documentation from officers when they have unholstered their firearm, pointed their firearm at an individual, and threatened to use their firearm against an individual, including the justification for such force;
3. Prohibit officers from shooting at individuals who are in moving vehicles; and
4. Requiring TPD officers to affirmatively intervene and to prevent or stop another officers from unnecessarily escalating an encounter or violating TPD’s use of force policy.237

5. Tulsans Impacted by Over-Policing Must Have Meaningful Input into What Public Safety in Tulsa Means

The Mayor, City Council, and TPD Must Ensure that Public Safety Priorities are Guided by All Community Members, Particularly those who have been Involved in the Criminal Justice System

The need for a new public safety framework defined by the Tulsa community, particularly communities that have been over-policed and people who have previously been impacted by TPD officers’ misconduct, is clear. Community members hesitate to call TPD for assistance and fear retaliation and reprisal from TPD officers.238 Tulsans have called for community oriented public safety, which necessarily involves direct input and participation from the community itself.239 Some community members have described public safety as providing community members real voice and power.240 Others have noted that some neighborhoods are over-policed which causes harm and distrust.241
The Mayor, City Council, and TPD have previously committed to making community policing a priority in building trust with the community.242 Despite this stated commitment, there’s little evidence that Tulsa’s leadership sought meaningful input from Black and Latinx Tulsans on public safety.243 A new public safety framework that is defined by the community must be a priority to building a Tulsa that is fair and equitable for all of its community members.244 Importantly, the method of engagement must itself be defined by those who are the most marginalized within Tulsa’s community. Further, this engagement must be active, iterative, and transparent because the needs of the community and their expectations of public safety will change over time.245

Additionally, as an act of transparency and commitment to accountability, TPD must take affirmative steps to ensure that its policy and procedure for filing complaints against its officers are clear and comprehensive and that the community is adequately informed of them.

6. TPD Must Limit its Interactions with Youth to Prevent their Involvement in the Criminal Legal System

TPD Enforcement Encounters with Youth Must be an Extremely Rare Occurrence

TPD must assess the causes of encounters with youth and collaborate with city officials and community organizations to develop community-based alternative solutions to the underlying issues that have led to police involvement with youth in the past.

Overwhelming evidence demonstrates that police presence in schools substantially increases the likelihood that students — especially Black, Latinx, and low-income youth — will face arrest for behaviors that are better addressed through non-punitive supports and interventions.246 Thus, Tulsa’s city officials should remove law enforcement from schools.

TPD should evaluate why police encounters with youth are occurring and work with impacted community members—particularly impacted youth and their families—to develop non-law enforcement alternatives to resolve underlying issues and further an overall goal to cease law enforcement encounters with youth.

When a call for service regarding a youth is initiated, every effort must be explored and made to divert the youth away from the justice system, including outreach to parent(s)/guardian(s), a school counselor, a health program, or other community-based services, before a police enforcement encounter is initiated.

7. City Officials Must Adopt and Implement Community Oversight Mechanisms to Hold Officers Accountable for Misconduct

The Mayor and City Council Must Listen to Community Members’ Demands and Develop and Implement Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement

Recognizing the need to both prevent and investigate unreasonable stops, seizures, arrests, excessive use of force, general police misconduct, and complaints, Tulsans have called for the establishment of an independent oversight board and a body to conduct independent investigations. During the March 7, 2019 community-led meeting, participants made it clear that a community advisory board was not enough, and recommended the creation of a civilian oversight body with clearly defined goals and outcomes, unfettered access to data, and real authority, including the power to subpoena documents.247

At the June 19, 2019 City Council meeting, a community member called for an independent monitor stating, “we must protect our youth” and “there is no greater community policing tool than an office dedicated to do just that. An office that should have subpoena power. It is imperative that we . . . give the community power to police the police. It is clear that they cannot do so themselves.”248 Additionally, panelist Poulter argued for an independent monitor as a best practice for reducing use of force incidents and disparities in use of force.249 Panelist Tahaie recommended that the City Council establish an independent monitor, calling for it to be transparent, community-led, and empowered with enforcement authority, citing the imperative of citizen oversight over all public institutions in a strong democracy.250

We, therefore, urge city officials to establish and fund an independent, civilian oversight body with the authority to conduct independent investigations of alleged police
misconduct, to determine whether officers violated policy and whether changes to policy are warranted, and to impose discipline. This independent oversight body must have the power to subpoena, have guaranteed funding to ensure its independence and effectiveness, and be staffed and governed by non-law enforcement civilians.

Core elements of effective civilian oversight include:

1. Independence;
2. Adequate jurisdictional authority and decision-making power;
3. Unfettered access to records;
4. Full cooperation from City officials and law enforcement;
5. Unmediated access to law enforcement executives and internal affairs staff;
6. Support of process stakeholders;
7. Adequate resources;
8. Public reporting/transparency;
9. Use of statistical pattern analysis;
10. Community outreach;
11. Community involvement; and
12. Respect for confidentiality.251

The testimonials of Tulsans, statements made by expert panelists, and studies and reports support the conclusion that independent, civilian oversight is needed in Tulsa. TPD has a well-documented history of misconduct and has consistently failed to hold its own officers accountable. Despite this, opponents of civilian oversight remain vocal in the halls of the City Council and Mayor’s office. The civilian oversight body must have the power to subpoena, access data, and have true authority to hold officers accountable.252

TPD Must Partner with the International Association of Directors of Law Enforcement Standards and Training to Contribute to its National Decertification Index

The TPD should provide all relevant information to the National Decertification Index which collects information about officers who have had their licenses or certifications revoked. This partnership253 will allow law enforcement agencies to identify problem officers before they are hired. It appears that the Oklahoma Council on Law Enforcement Education and Training has submitted the names of decertified officers to the National Decertification Index in the past.254 But it is unclear whether TPD notifies this agency of its decertified officers. We recommend that TPD should do so.

Moreover, TPD must reference this database and other local, regional, and national databases as a part of its hiring process to eliminate candidates with a history of misconduct.

8. Diversity of TPD Personnel is Not a Panacea

TPD Must Recruit, Retain, and Promote Officers Who are Committed to Fair and Impartial Policing Services without Increasing the Overall Number of Officers, and While the City Overall Reduces its Reliance on Policing and Invests in Other Services that Better Meet Residents’ Needs

The Obama Administration’s Task Force on 21st Century Policing report offers sound advice on how to recruit and retain a diverse workforce that will engage in unbiased policing, but noting:

“A critical factor in managing bias is seeking candidates who are likely to police in an unbiased manner. Since people are less likely to have biases against groups with which they have had positive experiences, police departments should seek candidates who have had positive interactions with people of various cultures and backgrounds.”255

TPD should develop methods to retain and promote diverse officers and intentionally support officers of color through sponsorship and mentorship programs.256 TPD must ensure that officers of any background who are promoted have a demonstrated commitment to bias-free policing and do not have a record of biased policing.
CONCLUSION

The Mayor and City Council of Tulsa and TPD must acknowledge and commit to remedying the racial disparities in TPD’s law enforcement activity. Additionally, the Mayor and City Council of Tulsa and TPD must implement proactive policies and recommendations suggested in this report to remedy the disparity and inequality experienced by Black Tulsans in encounters with the Tulsa Police Department.

Residents of Tulsa are relying on city leadership—both City Council members and the Mayor—to address racial disparities experienced by Black and Latinx communities and affirmed by the findings of the Tulsa Equality Indicators reports—a task that is long overdue. Tulsa’s city leaders must seize this opportunity to adopt transformative public safety policies and strategies to ensure all Tulsans are safe in their communities regardless of their race, ethnicity or national origin. Tulsans deserve nothing less.

This report is dedicated to the individuals and families in Tulsa and nationwide who have fought, and continue to fight, for justice for their loved ones during an unprecedented coronavirus pandemic. Over 500,000 people have been killed by COVID-19 in the United States over the last year, and 34% of them have been Black, although Black people comprise only 12% of the U.S. population. In particular, we remember Leanna Crutcher, the mother of Terence Crutcher, who died due to complications from COVID-19 on January 14, 2021. Leanna Crutcher’s legacy lives on and continues to inspire the fight for a more equitable public safety system in Tulsa.
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See Youth Arrests Hearing Part I, supra note 42, statement of Jeannie Cue, Councilor, Tulsa City Council at 00:35:35 - 00:36:30.
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249 See Use of Force Hearing Part II, supra note 121, statement of Melanie Poulter, Director of Innovative Research, Community Service Council of Greater Tulsa at 01:20:00 – 01:21:00.
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253 See President’s Task Force, supra note 191, at 29-30 (recommending that “a national register would effectively treat ‘police professionals the way states’ licensing laws treat other professionals. If anything, the need for such a system is even more important for law enforcement, as officers have the power to make arrests, perform searches, and use deadly force.”).

254 Council on Law Enforcement Education and Training, Meeting Agenda, 3 (Jan. 29, 2014) (stating “names of individuals whose certification has been suspended or voluntarily surrendered since the last meeting...will be entered into the National Decertification Database), https://www.ok.gov/cleet/documents/CouncilAgenda_29Jan2014.pdf.

255 See President’s Task Force, supra note 191, at 17.
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