September 13, 2022

The Honorable Merrick Garland  
Attorney General of the United States  
U.S. Department of Justice 950  
Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

RE: DOJ Must Enhance Efforts to Address the National Security Threat Posed By Law Enforcement Officials With Ties to Anti-Governmental and White Nationalist Groups

Dear Attorney General Garland:

The NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. (LDF) has continuously urged the Department of Justice (the Department) and this administration to address the urgent threat posed by law enforcement and military officials with ties to anti-governmental and white nationalist groups. The involvement of law enforcement and military—from line officers and cadets to leaders—in anti-governmental or white nationalist groups is a serious threat to our national security, the physical safety of our communities, and the overall health of our democracy.1 This is a particular risk for Black communities that experience disproportionate harms at the hands of police, are subject to higher rates of discrimination in the military,2 and are also targeted by white supremacist violence. Indeed, it is a threat that LDF has fought for decades. For example, in 1990, we successfully sued the Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department, whose deputies had terrorized Black and Latino residents of Lynwood, California,3 for their unlawful violence and abuse. Some of the deputies belonged to a neo-Nazi, white supremacist gang called the Vikings.4

The fact that a significant number of law enforcement and military personnel are also members of anti-governmental and/or white supremacist groups is supported by substantial evidence. Recently, 373 individuals with ties to the extremist, anti-government Oath Keepers group have been identified as members of law enforcement.5 One hundred and seventeen individuals tied to this group served in the U.S. military.6 To date, over 80 individuals with ties to the military7 and nearly 30 individuals with ties to law enforcement are known to have participated in the January 6, 2021 insurrection at the U.S. Capitol,8 19 of whom have been charged.9 Multiple reports have also uncovered law enforcement personnel sympathizing with white nationalist groups.10 Additionally, law enforcement trainers have been found to have ties to extremist groups like the Oath Keepers.11

Knowledge of this domestic threat is not new.12 Federal officials have acknowledged the phenomenon of white supremacists recruiting law enforcement, including members of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), for well over a decade.13 In 2006, the FBI released a bulletin detailing the significant national threat posed by the presence of white supremacists within law enforcement.14 While this report marked the FBI’s first official acknowledgement of this threat, a number of significant incidents preceded the report’s release.15 In 1991, a U.S. District Court found that deputies in the Los Angeles Sheriff’s Department were members of a neo-Nazi, white supremacist group and employed “terrorist-type tactics” to specifically target Black and Latino residents whom they had sworn to protect.16 In 1993, the Chicago Police Department fired Jon
Burge after discovering that he had tortured over 100 Black male suspects in his decades of service as a detective. Detectives reported in sworn statements that they had heard rumors that Burge was a member of the Ku Klux Klan. In 1999, the Mayor of Cleveland found that a group of Cleveland police officers had scrawled racist graffiti in the locker rooms of the city police department, including Nazi symbolism (swastikas) and 311, which references the Ku Klux Klan.

We recognize that the Department and other federal officials have prioritized the growing threat of white supremacist and anti-governmental groups, and have taken certain measures to combat this threat. We appreciate, for example, the FBI’s development of its Domestic Terrorism-Hate Crimes Fusion Cell to apply the expertise and resources of the Counterterrorism and Criminal Investigative Divisions to these overlapping threats. The work of this unit has been critical in responding to white supremacist violence, such as the horrific May 14, 2022, attack on Buffalo’s Black residents.

However, we remain concerned that the currently used blanket designation of “racially motivated violent extremists” obscures the specific threat of “white supremacist” violence by merging white supremacists with other categories of potential offenders that do not pose similar threats. For example, in 2018, the Anti-Defamation League’s Center on Extremism found that white supremacist extremists were responsible for 39 of the total 50 extremist-related killings they identified in 2018, which accounted for 78% of domestic extremist-related killings (with anti-government extremists accounting for another 8, or 16%). Designating a single category to encompass all racially motivated or otherwise extremist incidents of violence dangerously distorts the singular threat and unique features of white supremacist violence and undermines efforts to prevent it. At the same time, the FBI’s creation of a new domestic terrorism category called the “Black Identity Extremism movement,” in 2017, for individuals outraged by police brutality against Black people, is deeply troubling and rooted in a dangerous history of government targeting of Black protesters and movements. Individuals motivated or angered by the reality of police brutality against Black people should not be deemed “extremist” and should not be viewed as similar to the substantial threat posed by white supremacists. Indeed, unless the federal government specifically and continuously identifies white supremacists as the most dangerous domestic threat facing our country, the false comparisons and equivalencies that reinforce and perpetuate inaccurate stereotypes associating Blackness with violence will continue, as they did in the aftermath of the January 6 insurrection.

Additionally, many of the approaches taken by the Department to address the threat of anti-governmental groups and white supremacist violence rely on law enforcement officers, who may themselves be members of the very anti-governmental and white supremacist groups that they should be investigating. The Department must do more to address the threat posed by such law enforcement officers by devising a broad, agency-wide strategy that encompasses the powers and levers of each of the Department’s components to influence state and local law enforcement agencies. For example, to benefit from federal grants or other collaborations with the federal government, the Department should require that state and local law enforcement agencies first demonstrate that they are taking affirmative steps to identify and remove officers that associate with white supremacist or anti-governmental groups. The Department should further require that any complaints about officers’ participation in such groups—and, particularly, allegations involving racial bias—are investigated independently and thoroughly, resulting in appropriate discipline, including permanent removal, if substantiated. Additionally, all law enforcement agencies should be required to publish racially disaggregated data regarding their enforcement activities and commit to strategies to decrease any identified racial disparities.
Certain provisions of the President’s May 25, 2022, Executive Order 14074 also offer opportunities to address the threat of law enforcement officers that participate in anti-governmental and white supremacist groups. For example, Section 3 of the Order, “Strengthening Officer Recruitment, Hiring, Promotion, and Retention Practices,” requires an interagency working group to develop best practices and procedures to “help avoid the hiring and retention of law enforcement officers who promote unlawful violence, white supremacy, or other bias against persons based on race, ethnicity, national origin, religion, sex (including sexual orientation and gender identity), or disability” and that these best practices and procedures should be utilized by any local or state law enforcement officers that participate in federal task forces. The Department must ensure participation in federal task forces is reserved for the most fair-minded officers by, for example, banning officers with multiple allegations of race discrimination within certain time periods. Requiring such complaints to be “sustained” when evaluating qualifications for federal task force participation ignores the failing accountability systems of many law enforcement agencies, as identified by the Department’s own pattern-or-practice investigations.25

Furthermore, Section 19 of Executive Order 14074, “Establishing Accreditation Standards,” also offers an opportunity for the Attorney General to require that accrediting bodies have strong mandates to identify officers with extremist views or associations with anti-government and white supremacist groups as part of the accreditation process. Moreover, these accrediting bodies must demand that law enforcement agencies have internal practices that identify and eliminate individual and systemic racial bias within agencies, such as identifying and eliminating racial disparities in enforcement practices, thoroughly investigating allegations of racial bias, and implementing appropriate discipline that meets the gravity of the offense.

For too long, DOJ has not adequately addressed the national security threat posed by law enforcement officers that support, and participate in, anti-governmental and white nationalist groups. Law enforcement agencies’ tolerance of individual and structural racial bias creates an environment for white supremacist extremism to grow within law enforcement’s ranks. To truly address the rising threat of this extremism, the Department must lead, and local and state agencies must push, to eliminate all forms of racial bias that persist across law enforcement. The Department must address this threat as a national security issue, recognize its roots in the tolerance of racially biased law enforcement practices, and develop an agency-wide plan to address both the root cause and threat of white extremism. To this end, DOJ must coordinate an interagency effort with the Department of Homeland Security, while ensuring that civil rights concerns within the expertise of the Department of Justice remain central.

Finally, while we acknowledge that the Department needs adequate funding to address these issues, the urgency of this crisis demands that these issues be prioritized within the existing funding of the Department, as more support is sought. We will continue to also highlight these critical matters with other federal officials. We appreciate your attention to this important and urgent matter and would welcome an opportunity to discuss this matter with you. Should you have any questions in the meantime, please do not hesitate to reach out to Puneet Cheema, LDF’s Manager of the Justice in Public Safety Project at pcheema@naacpldf.org, Jin Hee Lee, Director of Strategic Initiatives at jlee@naacpldf.org, or Lisa Cylar Barrett, Director of Policy and Director of the Washington DC Office at lcylarbarrett@naacpldf.org.
Sincerely,

Jane S. Nelson
President and Director-Counsel
NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc.

Cc: The Honorable Secretary of Homeland Security, Alejandro Mayorkas
The Honorable Associate Attorney General, Vanita Gupta
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